

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,418	09/05/2003	David J. Parins	1001.1675101	7562	
	7590 12/04/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, I	EXAMINER			
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	0,111.00.100.2.120		3736		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/656,418	PARINS, DAVID J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736	

	JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a total value of 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-evented by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L bolowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	red but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other							
/Max Hindenburg/	/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736	Examiner, Art Unit 3736						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues Johansen does not disclose for claim 10 the position of each of the plurality of joining elements is offset from the position of the each of the other plurality of joining elements other along the coil length in a direction transverse the length updated to all casts of claim 28 at least one of the plurality of joining elements is disposed more distall than the others, or for claim 36 at least one of the plurality of joining elements is disposed to seer to the proximal end than the others.

For dalms 10 and 28, the Examiner notes Johanseon clearly shows in Figure 2 that each of the positions of joining elements are longitudinally offset from each other, especially when viewed in the direction trasverse the longiquidinal coil axis. The joining elements appear in Figure 2 to each begin and end about the the same wire of the coil that is helically twisted; however because of the pitch of the wire forming the helically disposed coil, there is at least a "longitudinal offset" between each of the positions of joining elements. For example, the position of the top joining element in Figure 2 is disposed most distally with respect to the position of the others, the position of the bottom joining element in Figure 2 is disposed most distally with respect to the position of the middle joining element in Figure 2 is disposed most proximally with respect to position of the the others, and the position of the middle joining element in Figure 2 is disposed most proximally with respect to position of the the others, and the position of the middle joining elements.

For claim 36, the Examiner reiterates as above and further notes there is no longitudinal overlap when viewed in a direction along the coil length.

Applicant substantively and separately argues claim 19, arguing Erickson does not remedy the deficiences of Johansen and does not teach at least one joining element does not couple to any of the two rickings coupled by the at least one other joining element. The Examiner notes Erickson teaches a plurality of joining elements wherein at least one joining element does not couple to any of the two or more coil widings coupled by the at least one either plurality of joining element. The least one joining element seems to element at least one joining elements plurality elements are compared to the plurality of the second services of the plurality of the second services of the plurality elements. All east four elements are considered to the plurality elements and the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All east so the second recommendations are considered to the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All east so the second recommendations are considered to the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All east expected the plurality elements are set the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All east even the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All east even the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements are set of the plurality elements. All elements are set of the plurality elements. All elements are set of the plurality elements are set of